

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 \* \* \*

4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Case No. 2:19-cr-00300-JCM-EJY

5 Plaintiff,

**PROTECTIVE ORDER**

6 v.

7 MOHAMMED HONARI,  
8 MARK CANLAS CUYUGAN,  
9 EDGAR VELASCO SAMSON,  
10 MARLON ECHON MELU,  
11 REYMUND DELOS SANTOS BALUYUT,  
12 JORGE SAENZ DE TEJADA,  
13 FELIPE AGAPITO GATCHALIAN RAAGAS,  
14 FERNANDO RAMIREZ, JR.,  
15 DARREN EUGENE VADEN and  
16 DALE VADEN,

17 Defendants.

18 Based upon the Stipulation of counsel, and for good cause shown, it is hereby ORDERED as  
19 follows:

20 1. The parties, including Plaintiff, the United States of America, and Defendants  
21 Mohammed Honari, Mark Canlas Cuyugan, Marlon Echon Melu, Reymund Delos Santos Baluyut,  
22 Jorge Saenz De Tejada, Fernando Ramirez, Jr., and Dale Vaden (hereinafter each a "Participating  
23 Party," and Defendants and each Participating Party collectively the "Participating Parties") request  
24 for a Protective Order (ECF No. 83) is GRANTED.

25 2. An indictment was returned by the grand jury on November 13, 2019, charging each  
26 of the named defendants with one count of conspiracy to commit bank fraud, in violation of Title  
27 18, United States Code, Section 1349, and fifteen counts of bank fraud, in violation of Title 18,  
28 United States Code, Section 1349. (ECF No. 1)

3. Upon the appointment of all defense counsel, the Government desires and intends to  
produce discovery as soon as possible.

4. The indictment in this case alleges a criminal conspiracy and scheme and plan to  
defraud various financial institutions by obtaining loans for the purchase of automobiles and credit

1 cards with no intent to repay the loans or credit cards. The indictment alleges that, as part of the  
2 scheme, defendants submitted their personal identifying information to the financial institutions in  
3 order to obtain the loans and credit cards. The indictment further alleges that, at times, the defendants  
4 submitted or caused to be submitted personal identifying information of third parties whose  
5 information was also used in the scheme. The discovery is voluminous in this case and involves  
6 thousands of pages of records obtained from the financial institutions. The discovery includes, but  
7 is not limited to, the defendants, as well as some third parties, personal identifying information and  
8 financial records (the "Protected Information"). The "Protected Information" is subject to this  
9 protective order.

10 5. This order is necessary as the dissemination of the "Protected Information," could  
11 endanger the privacy and financial information of the third parties, as well as the various defendants.

12 6. In order to protect the privacy of the third party and each defendant Participating  
13 Party, the Participating Parties intend to restrict access to the following individuals: the Participating  
14 Parties and any personnel that the attorneys for all parties consider necessary to assist in performing  
15 those attorneys' duties in the prosecution or defense of this case, including investigators, paralegals,  
16 retained experts, support staff, and any other individuals specifically authorized by the Court  
17 (collectively, the "Covered Individuals").

18 7. The Covered Individuals shall be advised of the Protective Order and, as such,  
19 without leave of Court, the Covered Individuals shall not:

- 20 a. make copies for, allow copies of any kind to be made by any other person of  
21 "Protected Information" in this case;
- 22 b. allow any other person to read, listen, or otherwise review the "Protected  
23 Information" in this case;
- 24 c. use the "Protected Information" for any other purpose other than preparing to  
25 defend against or prosecute the charges in the indictment or any further superseding  
26 indictment arising out of this case; or

1 d. attach any "Protected Information" to any of the pleadings, briefs, or other  
2 court filings except to the extent those pleadings, briefs, or filings are filed under seal or  
3 properly compliant with LR IC 6-1.

4 8. Nothing in this stipulation is intended to restrict the Participating Parties' use or  
5 introduction of the "Protected Information" as evidence at trial or support in motion practice.  
6 Nothing in this Protective Order is intended to bar or otherwise restrict counsel from rendering  
7 advice to his or her client with respect to the charges in the Indictment.

8 9. The Participating Parties shall inform any person to whom disclosure may be made  
9 pursuant to this order of the existence and terms of this Court's order.

10 10. In the event of an inadvertent disclosure of the Protected Information, the  
11 Participating Party making or learning of the inadvertent disclosure will immediately:

- 12 a. Notify the person to whom the disclosure was made that it contains Protected  
13 Information subject to a Protective Order;
- 14 b. Make all reasonable efforts to preclude dissemination or use of the Protected  
15 Information by the person to whom disclosure was inadvertently made;
- 16 c. Notify the Government and all other Participating Parties of the identity of  
17 the person to whom the disclosure was made, the circumstances surrounding  
18 the disclosure, and the steps taken to ensure against further dissemination or  
19 use of the information; and
- 20 d. Notify the Court in writing under seal.

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 DATED: December 19, 2019

23  
24  
25   
26 ELAYNA J. YOUCHAK  
27 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
28